UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,888	11/13/2003	Prabodh P. Parekh	IFF-71	7765
48080 7590 01/22/2009 INTERNATIONAL FLAVORS & FRAGRANCES INC. 521 WEST 57TH ST			EXAMINER	
			GULLEDGE, BRIAN M	
NEW YORK, NY 10019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,888	PAREKH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian Gulledge	1619
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-9,11-16 and 18-21 is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-9,11-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

## Change of Examiner

This application has been reassigned from Shahrzad Spieler to Brian Gulledge for the remainder of its prosecution. Applicant is advised that future communications should be directed to Brian Gulledge, who can be contacted at 571-270-5756, Monday–Thursday from 6:00 am until 3:00 pm.

## Previous Rejections

Applicants' arguments, filed September 11, 2008, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-9, 11-16, and 18-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor (US Patent 6,432,891) in view of Triplett et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0199402). The declaration filed on September 11, 2008 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the filing date of the Triplett et al. reference. Only one of seven inventors (Richard Boden) has signed the declaration. An affidavit or declaration by less than all named inventors of an application is accepted where it is shown that less than all named inventors of an application invented the subject matter of the claim or

Art Unit: 1619

claims under rejection. For example, one of two joint inventors is accepted where it is shown that one of the joint inventors is the sole inventor of the claim or claims under rejection. See MPEP 715.04. As not all the inventor signed this declaration, and it is not shown that Richard Boden is the sole inventor of the claimed subject matter, the declaration is deficient. However, *in arguendo*, if the requisite signatures or statement were provided, the claimed subject matter of some of the claims, such as instant claims 2-8, would antedate the filing date of the Tripplett et al. reference.

Claims 1-9, 11-16, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor (US Patent 6,432,891) in view of Rohe et al. (US Patent 4,968,496). O'Connor teaches a method of counteracting a malodor in a solid or liquid, soap or detergent caused by a compound. The method comprises introducing into the solid or liquid, soap or detergent an effective malodor counteracting amount of a malodor counteracting compound selected from the group consisting of 1-cyclohexyl-ethyl-butyrate, 1-cyclohexyl-ethyl-acetate, 1-cyclohexyl-ethanol, 4-isopropyl-cyclohexyl-propionate, and phenoxyacetic acid 2-hydroxy-ethyl ester. The perceived total odor intensity in the solid or liquid, soap or detergent is reduced and the perceived malodor intensity in the solid or liquid, soap or detergent is substantially eliminated. The malodor counteracting compositions may be used in a wide variety of solid or liquid, soaps or detergents (abstract, lines 1-17).

O'Connor further teaches the method comprises introducing into the solid or liquid, soap or detergent an effective malodor counteracting amount of a mixture comprising from about 0.5% to about 10% of a solution of ambroxan in dipropylene glycol, about 9.5% of boisvelone,

Art Unit: 1619

about 10% of lyral, about 30% of phenyl propyl alcohol, about 10% of cyclohexyl-ethylacetate, and about 10% of 4-isopropyl-cyclohexyl-propionate (column 6, lines 57-65, claim 19, and examples). In the examples taught by O'Connor, the examiner points out that the VELIX products used are the same mixtures of cyclohexyl compounds used in instant application. What is lacking in O'Connor is the teaching of zinc ricinoleate in the claimed composition.

Rohe et al. teaches deodorant compositions that comprise zinc ricinoleate (column 1, lines 9-20) and that the compound is used in from about 2 to 10 wt% (claim 1). Examples of malodorous compounds that will be bound include mercaptans, thioethers, amines, and aldehydes (column 1, lines 52-55).

Therefore, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the composition taught by O'Conner, used to counteract malodor, with the composition taught by Rohe et al., also used to counteract malodor. Generally, it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two compositions, each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art. See MPEP 2144.06.

Claims 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor (US Patent 6,432,891) in view of Rohe et al. (US Patent 4,968,496) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Pavlin et al. (US Patent 5,783,657). O'Connor in view of Rohe et al. teaches all of the limitations of instant claims 18 and 21, except for the limitations

to the stick article that the composition is incorporated into. Rohe et al. does disclose the inclusion of the composition in a stick article (column 1, lines 21-27).

Pavlin et al. teaches an ester-terminated polyamide gel that is useful in formulating personal care products (abstract, lines 1-15). Pavlin et al. further discloses that the gel taught can be used for deodorant/antiperspirants (column 14, lines 34-37), incorporating known materials into the form (column 14, lines 59-67). Pavlin et al. further teaches that the stick composition of the invention has the combination of rheological properties needed to formulate a successful personal care product (column 1, lines 38-44) while also being transparent, a desirable property (column 1, lines 45-55).

Therefore, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the stick taught by Pavlin et al. to deliver the deodorant composition taught by O'Conner in view of Rohe et al., as Pavlin et al. teaches that the stick has the properties desired by consumers for personal care products.

## Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Gulledge whose telephone number is (571) 270-5756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00am - 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,888

Art Unit: 1619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 6

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**BMG** 

/Frederick Krass/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612